

Template for Impact Assessment Level 1: Initial screening assessment

Subject of assessment:	Members Small Scheme Allocation 18/19			
Coverage:	Member led scheme to capital fund a range of schemes within their wards.			
This is a decision relating to:	<input type="checkbox"/> Strategy	<input type="checkbox"/> Policy	<input type="checkbox"/> Service	<input type="checkbox"/> Function
	<input type="checkbox"/> Process/procedure	<input type="checkbox"/> Programme	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Project	<input type="checkbox"/> Review
	<input type="checkbox"/> Organisational change	<input type="checkbox"/> Other (please state)		
It is a:	New approach:	<input type="checkbox"/>	Revision of an existing approach:	<input type="checkbox"/>
It is driven by:	Legislation:	<input type="checkbox"/>	Local or corporate requirements:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Description:	<p>Insert short description, using the following as sub-headings:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Key aims, objectives and activities Member led scheme to capital fund a range of schemes in their wards. Only one bid per ward between £5k minimum and £15k maximum • Statutory drivers (set out exact reference) Schemes are evaluated and scored against the Mayors Vision and VFM. • Differences from any previous approach Approach has been in operation for over 10 years and the criteria has become well established. • Key stakeholders and intended beneficiaries (internal and external as appropriate) Bids set out local stakeholder consultation and involvement as part of the submission process. • Intended outcomes. Small projects which benefit the local community, meet the Mayors Vision and VFM tests are funded within the scope of the scheme and subject to available finance. 			
Live date:	4 th of February 2019			
Lifespan:	N/A			
Date of next review:	N/A			

Screening questions	Response			Evidence
	No	Yes	Uncertain	
Human Rights Could the decision impact negatively on individual Human Rights as enshrined in UK legislation?*	☒	☐	☐	There are no concerns that the proposal could impact negatively on human rights.

* Consult the Impact Assessment further guidance appendix for details on the issues covered by each of these broad questions prior to completion.

Screening questions	Response			Evidence
<p>Equality</p> <p>Could the decision result in adverse differential impacts on groups or individuals with characteristics protected in UK equality law? Could the decision impact differently on other commonly disadvantaged groups?*</p>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<p>The Public Sector Equality Duty (PSED) requires that when exercising its functions the Councils must have due regard to the need to:-</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • eliminate discrimination, harassment, victimisation and any other conduct that is prohibited by or under this Act; • advance equality of opportunity between persons who share a relevant protected characteristic and persons who do not share it; and • foster good relations between persons who share a relevant protected characteristic and persons who do not share it. <p>In having due regard to the need to advance equality of opportunity, the Council must consider, as part of a single equality duty:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • removing or minimising disadvantages suffered by persons who share a relevant protected characteristic that are connected to that characteristic; • taking steps to meet the needs of persons who share a relevant protected characteristic that are different from the needs of people who do not share it; and • encouraging people who share a protected characteristic to participate in public life or in any other activity in which participation is low. <p>This proposal does not adversely impact on any groups or individuals with characteristics protected by UK law. The proposal applies as a universal charge regardless of race, gender or religious belief. However some of the schemes proposed impact positively in respect of the young and elderly people and the disabled.</p>

Screening questions	Response			Evidence
<p>Community cohesion Could the decision impact negatively on relationships between different groups, communities of interest or neighbourhoods within the town?*</p>	☒	☐	☐	There are no concerns that the proposal could have an adverse impact on community cohesion. Some of the schemes have a positive impact on community cohesion through providing adequate play area and improving road safety in the community.
<p>Next steps:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➡ If the answer to all of the above screening questions is No then the process is completed. ➡ If the answer of any of the questions is Yes or Uncertain, then a Level 2 Full Impact Assessment must be completed. 				

Assessment completed by:	M Shepherd	Head of Service:	M Shepherd
Date:	27 th of December 2018	Date:	27 th of December 2018